Filed 1/9/02

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Sacramento)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

PHILLIP JAMES KING,

Defendant and Appellant.

C038699

(Super. Ct. Nos. 01F01894 and 01F00725)

Pursuant to a bargain, defendant Phillip James King pleaded no contest in information No. 01F00725 to false personation (Pen. Code, § 529, subd. 3) and in information No. 01F01894 to transportation of marijuana (Health & Saf. Code, § 11360, subd. (a)). As to each information, he also admitted a prior strike conviction. In accordance with the bargain, defendant was sentenced to state prison for five years four months. In information No. 01F00725 restitution fines of \$600 were imposed in accordance with Penal Code sections 1202.4 and 1202.45, and

in information No. 01F01894 restitution fines of \$800 were similarly imposed.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (People v. Wende (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

	, CALLAHAN, J
We concur:	
, SCOTLAND, P	Р.Ј.
NICHOLSON, J	J.